

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/805,316	03/13/2001	Ban D. Green	0219KR.043693	1790
7	590 03/12/2003			
Constance Gall Rhebergen		EXAMINER		
BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P. P.O. Box 61389		TUCKER, PHILIP C		
Houston, TX	77208-1389		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	1
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	805316	GREEN	
	Examiner P. Tuc	KER 17	rt Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet i	peneath the correspond	ence address
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM TI	HE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reject 1 NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu 	ply within the statutory minir expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) days will be m the mailing date of this com	considered timely.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/20/0	2 - RCE		
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935			s is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s) 15 - 17		is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
1 04	is/are rejected.		
A Claim(s) 1 - 14		is/are rejected.	
Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to	
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		is/are objected to	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers		is/are objected to	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.	is/are objected to are subject to resure requirement.	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to are subject to resure requirement.	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to are subject to resure requirement.	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to are subject to resure requirement.	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ ☐ is/are object ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to are subject to resure requirement.	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	g Review, PTO-948. is	is/are objected to are subject to res requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of t received.	g Review, PTO-948. is approved ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) he priority documents hero.	is/are objected to are subject to res requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbe □ received in this national stage application from the Inte	g Review, PTO-948. is approved ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) he priority documents hero.	is/are objected to are subject to res requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	g Review, PTO-948. is approved and approved ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) the priority documents the priority document and articles.	is/are objected to are subject to res requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	triction or election
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	g Review, PTO-948. is approved ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) he priority documents hero rnational Bureau (PCT)	is/are objected to are subject to res requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	triction or election

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/20/02 has been entered.

Election/Restriction

2. Newly submitted claims 15-17 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: New claims 15-17 are drawn to a method of pelletizing a base material classified in class 428, while the original claims were directed to a pelletized additive for drilling operations, classified in class 507.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 15-17 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants specification fails to teach that the material is "untreated", and this thus introduces new matter into the claims.

In claim 14, the teaching that the particles define an original particle size distribution and wherein the pellet body is further operable to revert to substantially the original particle size distribution of the plurality of untreated comminuted particles, is new matter.

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bloys (5065820).

Bloys teaches a lost circulation additive which comprises compressed sponge particles (column 3, lines 14-25).

7. Claims 1, 2, 7-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alexander (4836940).

Alexander teaches a lost circulation additive which comprises pellets of bentonite and a polymer (see claim 1).

Art Unit: 1712

8. Claims 1, 2, 7, 10, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cremeans (4217965).

Cremeans teaches a lost circulation additive which comprises ground cottonseed hulls (see claim 1 and column 3, line 67 - column 4, line 2).

9. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wagener (4428844).

Wagener teaches a lost circulation additive which comprises pellets of paper (see column 4, line 30 - column 5, line 21).

10. Claims 1, 2, 7, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alexander (4462470).

Alexander teaches a lost circulation additive which comprises pellets of clay (column 3, lines 31-45).

11. Claims 1, 2, 7-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Armentrout (2836555).

Armentrout teaches a lost circulation additive which comprises compressed pellets of bentonite clay (see claim 1).

Art Unit: 1712

12. Claims 1, 2, 7, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Armentrout (2642268).

Armentrout teaches a lost circulation additive which comprises compressed pellets of materials such as bentonite (see claim 1 and column 3, line 50 - column 4, line 35).

13. Applicants arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicants claims state that the pellet body is operable to disperse within the drilling fluid, directly upon introduction into the drilling fluid, the pellet when dispersed in the drilling fluid is operable to inhibit lost circulation, seepage loss and fluid loss. All of the pellets taught by the prior art disperse within the drilling fluid upon being introduced therein. Applicants claims state that the pellets themselves inhibit the lost circulation, fluid loss and seepage of the drilling fluid.

Applicants arguments seem to be directed to the different concept of the breaking up of the pellets into particles which are distributed through the fluid. However, applicants specification at page 3, lines 14-16 states that the additive, which comprises the pellets and not the individual particles, are distributed through the fluid.

The insertion of "untreated" and "raw"does not distinguish, since the claim uses the language "comprising" in describing the ingredients of the pellet. Thus the claims do not distinguish over Wagener. The scope of the material as being "raw or untreated" is further confusing in view of the numerous components which are used along with the base materials as claimed in claims 3-10. A truly "untreated" material would not comprise any of these materials.

Art Unit: 1712

The declaration of Rose only relates to the sale of goods to buyers, in that disclosure of ingredients to customers would necessitate the revelation of chemicals contained therein which could be harmful, but does not relate to the patent disclosure. One of ordinary skill in the art, practicing the invention, would not add any products which would be detrimental to the environment, or health of the workers upon reading the specification. The rejections are thus maintained.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The **after final** fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2752 March 6, 2003

PHILIP C. TUCKER ART UNIT 1712